

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL



Published in Accordance with
General Statutes Section 51-216a

VOL. LXXIX No. 37

March 13, 2018

149 Pages

Table of Contents

CONNECTICUT REPORTS

Reinke v. Sing, 328 C 376.	2
<i>Dissolution of marriage; whether trial court had subject matter jurisdiction and authority to determine plaintiff's claim seeking modification of dissolution judgment; claim that trial court was deprived of subject matter jurisdiction by statute (§ 46b-86 (a)) providing that trial court may not modify portion of dissolution judgment that assigns estate of one party to other party; whether provisions of § 46b-86 (a) are subject matter jurisdictional; whether Sousa v. Sousa (157 Conn. App. 587) was wrongly decided; whether statute (§ 52-212a) authorized trial court to open dissolution judgment when parties had orally agreed to submit to court's jurisdiction.</i>	
State v. Andrews (Order), 328 C 911	23
State v. Lebrick (Order), 328 C 912	24
State v. Montana (Order), 328 C 911	23
State v. Outlaw (Order), 328 C 910	22
State v. Stanley (Order), 328 C 911	23
Volume 328 Cumulative Table of Cases	25

CONNECTICUT APPELLATE REPORTS

Artiaco v. Commissioner of Correction, 180 CA 243	103A
<i>Habeas corpus; claim that trial counsel provided ineffective assistance; reviewability of claims that habeas court erred in concluding that petitioner was not denied effective assistance of trial counsel and denying petition for certification to appeal; failure to brief claims adequately.</i>	
Carvalhos Masonry, LLC v. S&L Variety Contractors, LLC, 180 CA 237	97A
<i>Contracts; whether trial court should have disqualified itself from adjudicating issues of liability and damages after it recommended posttrial that parties stipulate to judgment in amount of damages that plaintiff sought in proposed amended complaint.</i>	
State v. Smith, 180 CA 181	41A
<i>Sexual assault in fourth degree; risk of injury to child; sexual assault in first degree; sexual assault in second degree; claim that defendant's constitutional right to remain silent under Doyle v. Ohio (426 U.S. 610) was violated when state introduced evidence of defendant's post-Miranda silence; whether trial court's admission of challenged evidence was harmless beyond a reasonable doubt; whether challenged evidence was related to defendant's exculpatory theories advanced at trial; whether, apart from challenged evidence related to defendant's post-Miranda silence, state established guilt of defendant beyond reasonable doubt.</i>	
State v. Soyini, 180 CA 205.	65A
<i>Murder as accessory; conspiracy to commit murder; whether evidence was sufficient to show that defendant had intent to commit murder as accessory and conspiracy to commit murder; whether evidence was sufficient to show that agreement existed between defendant and accomplice to cause death of victim and that defendant had intent to cause death of victim; whether jury reasonably could have inferred that defendant knowingly and wilfully assisted accomplice in acts that prepared for and facilitated victim's murder; unpreserved claim that trial court violated defendant's right to fair trial when it failed to instruct jury that it could not use accomplice's previous guilty plea to find that crime of murder had been proven</i>	

(continued on next page)

<i>beyond reasonable doubt; unpreserved claim that trial court committed plain error by giving jury unwarranted special credibility instruction on accomplice testimony.</i>	
<i>Zilkha v. Zilkha</i> , 180 CA 143	3A
<i>Dissolution of marriage; child custody and visitation; claim that trial court abandoned its obligation to decide matter before it and improperly delegated its statutory authority regarding custody and visitation by granting children considerable level of control over extent of defendant's access to them; whether trial court improperly relied on events that occurred between 2004 and 2007 in reaching its decision to deny motions for modification of custody and visitation; claim that trial court improperly considered and adopted recommendations made by children's guardian ad litem because guardian ad litem chose to function as attorney for minor children instead of fulfilling obligations as guardian ad litem; whether, in reaching its decision, trial court improperly relied on erroneous factual finding; whether record supported relief ordered by court.</i>	
Volume 180 Cumulative Table of Cases	111A

NOTICES OF CONNECTICUT STATE AGENCIES

Public Health, Department of	1B
Social Services, Department of	1B
Connecticut Airport Authority	4B

MISCELLANEOUS

Notice Vacating Suspension of Attorney	1C
Notices of Application for Reinstatement	1C

CONNECTICUT LAW JOURNAL

(ISSN 87500973)

Published by the State of Connecticut in accordance with the provisions of General Statutes § 51-216a.

Commission on Official Legal Publications
Office of Production and Distribution
111 Phoenix Avenue, Enfield, Connecticut 06082-4453
Tel. (860) 741-3027, FAX (860) 745-2178
www.jud.ct.gov

RICHARD J. HEMENWAY, *Publications Director*

Published Weekly – Available at <http://www.jud.ct.gov/lawjournal>

Syllabuses and Indices of court opinions by
ERIC M. LEVINE, *Reporter of Judicial Decisions*
Tel. (860) 757-2250

The deadline for material to be published in the Connecticut Law Journal is Wednesday at noon for publication on the Tuesday six days later. When a holiday falls within the six day period, the deadline will be noon on Tuesday.